CHANGES IN BIOMECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF CHEMOTHERAPY BONE CEMENT AFTER A YEAR IN SALINE STORAGE
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INTRODUCTION
- Acrylic based bone cements are popular in orthopedic surgery due to the wide variety of uses and high degree of customization
- Bone cements are used to treat vertebral fractures due to osteoporosis and metastatic disease
- There is also utility for mixing chemotherapeutic agents with bone cement and using it as a local delivery system in vivo for bone cancer
- Elution profiles of bone cement can be modified by adding soluble fillers such as polyethylene glycol (PEG)
- Addition of PEG will increase elution time of chemotherapy agents, but it will also cause the mechanical properties of the cement to be changed
- Currently there isn’t a reliable way for a surgeon to prepare a customized cement intraoperatively and predict its mechanical properties

METHODS
Confidence Ultra, Vertebroplastic (Depuy Spine, Inc.) were used and mixed with varying amounts (0–50%) of PEG (MW 8,000) and chemotherapy agents (Methotrexate or doxorubicin).

OBJECTIVES
- Determine the change in mechanical properties of the cements after drug elution

RESULTS
- Palacos and Vertebroplastic retained their properties better than Confidence
- Increasing the amount of soluble filler resulted in a decreased modulus and compression strength (Figures 1&2) in all three bone cement samples as expected.
- Despite elution in a liquid medium for one year the cements retained adequate modulus of elasticity and compression strength
- Other than knowing that the addition of soluble fillers will lower mechanical properties, it is difficult to know the exact combination of cement and filler to make a material with the desired mechanical properties for the patient.
- The best was to guarantee the appropriate properties, and drug elution profile of a bone cement would be for a company to provide a commercially available product with known mechanical properties.

CONCLUSION
When using bone cements clinically it is important to remember that combining soluble fillers enhances drug elution at the expense of mechanical properties.

Additionally, the mechanical properties of different commercially available bone cements behave differently with similar percentages of soluble filler and drug added. This shows the difficulty in predicting in vivo changes in mechanical properties of bone cement intraoperatively.

Many surgeons prepare the bone cement/chemotherapy mixtures intraoperatively, however our experiments show the difficulty in predicting the exact behavior of this new combination as far as mechanical properties are concerned. This elucidates the need for well characterized bone cement optimized for chemotherapy drug delivery.
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![Figure 1: Modulus of Bone Cement Samples](image1)

![Figure 2: Compression Strength of Bone Cement Samples](image2)

Table 1. Mechanical Properties of Bone Cement Samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cement</th>
<th>Modulus MPa</th>
<th>Compression Strength MPa</th>
<th>Retained Modulus</th>
<th>Retained Compression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Palacos 100/0</td>
<td>1186.91</td>
<td>75.32</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palacos 80/20</td>
<td>882.75</td>
<td>50.39</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palacos 50/50</td>
<td>418.29</td>
<td>24.47</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vert 100/0</td>
<td>894.43</td>
<td>87.41</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vert 80/20</td>
<td>560.49</td>
<td>53.88</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vert 50/50</td>
<td>350.38</td>
<td>33.42</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conf 100/0</td>
<td>996.61</td>
<td>57.62</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>32%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conf 80/20</td>
<td>468.65</td>
<td>26.69</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conf 50/50</td>
<td>173.50</td>
<td>12.91</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>