Evaluation of the appropriateness of tirofiban use in accordance to current ACC/AHA guidelines



Introduction

- Tirofiban is a tyrosine-derived non-peptide Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa (GP2b3a) inhibitor.
- GP2b3a inhibitor therapy during primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) decreases the incidence of major adverse events as it directly affects the level of platelet inhibition.
- Currently tirofiban is approved for high-dose IV bolus (HDB) regimen of 25mcg/kg within 5 minutes and then 0.15mcg/kg/min infusion for up to 18 hours in patients with Non-ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction(NSTEMI) and Unstable Angina(UA) undergoing Percutaneous Intervention(PCI).

Purpose

- Primary objective: To assess the appropriateness of tirofiban utilizing the 2014 AHA/ACC guideline recommendations.
- Secondary objectives:
 - To identify the indication for tirofiban use
 - Duration of infusion post-PCI
 - Other antiplatelet used in conjunction
 - Assess complications such as bleeding or thrombocytopenia.

Methods and Materials

- Data was collected through retrospective chart review utilizing computerized physician order entry (CPOE) and medical records.
- Patients were randomly selected from period of January 2015 to July 2015 who received tirofiban infusion.
- Data collection included significant medical history, indication for tirofiban use, laboratory values (troponin, platelets, renal function), tirofiban infusion duration, anti-platelets used concurrently and complications (i.e. bleeding and thrombocytopenia) post tirofiban infusion.

Disclosure

Authors of this presentation have following to disclose concerning possible financial or personal relationships with commercial entities that may have a direct or indirect interest in the subject matter of this presentation:

- Priyam Mithawala, priyammi@pcom.edu: Nothing to disclose
- Mary George, mgeorge@gwinnettmedicalcenter.org: Nothing To disclose

Priyam Mithawala, PharmD^{1,2}; Mary George, PharmD² Philadelphia College of Osteopathic Medicine, School of Pharmacy¹; Gwinnett Medical Center²

Figure 1. Comparison of Tirofiban infusion duration on different anti-platelet agents 6-10 hrs 11-18 hrs >18 hrs < 6 hrs

Infusion duration

*Antiplatelet agents are given with Aspirin 325 mg *All tirofiban doses are renally adjusted and given along with tirofiban bolus dose

Figure 2. Comparison of Tirofiban use Indications



Figure 3. Comparison of Measured Troponin-T and Duration of Tirofiban Infusion



References

1. Hartman GD. Tirofiban hydrochloride: platelet antiaggregatory GP IIb/IIIa receptor antagonist. Drugs Future.. 1995;20:897-901. 2. Kereiakes M, Kleiman S, Ambrose A, Cohen M, Rodriguez S, Palabrica T, Herrmann HC, Sutton JM, Weaver WD, McKee DB, Fitzpatrick V, Sax FL. Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled dose ranging study of tirofiban (MK-383) platelet IIb/IIIa blockade in high risk patients undergoing coronary angioplasty. J Am Coll Cardiol. 1996;27:536-542. 3. Valgimigli M, Tebaldi M, Campo G, Gambetti S, Bristot L, Monti M, Parrinello G, Ferrari R; FABOLUS PRO Investigators. Prasugrel versus tirofiban bolus with or without short post-bolus infusion with or without concomitant prasugrel administration in patients with myocardial infarction undergoing coronary stenting: the FABOLUS PRO (Facilitation through Aggrastat By drOpping or shortening Infusion Line in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction compared to or on top of PRasugrel given at loading dOse) trial. JACC Cardiovasc Interv 2012; 5: 268–77.



Results



- and 13 (37.2%) were STEMI.
- when given tirofiban infusion.
- renally adjusted when appropriate.
- duration of use varied.
- of coronary artery bypass grafting.

388379



Female Male

NSTEMI STEMI UA 🛛

Demographics N=35

A total of 6 patients (17.1%) were UA, 16 (45.7%) were NSTEMI,

• All patients (N=35) were loaded with dual anti-platelet agents

• Each tirofiban infusion was given with HDB regimen and was

• All patients had appropriate indications for tirofiban use, but the

• A total of 3 patients (8.6%) received infusion longer than 18 hours and 14 (40%) patients received \geq 11 hours of tirofiban infusion. • All patients who received > 18 hours infusion were not candidates

There was no evidence of thrombocytopenia (i.e. 50% drop in platelets than baseline or platelets < 100,000/mm3) or bleeding (i.e. signs of bleeding or administration of reversal agent) complications post tirofiban infusion.

Conclusions

• Based on these results, standardization of tirofiban infusion protocol will help with consistency in current practice. • FABOLUS PRO trial has shown that tirofiban given as bolus only or bolus followed by 2-hour infusion along with clopidogrel or prasugrel leads to higher degree of platelet inhibition and obviates the need of longer infusion in patients with STEMI. • Studies further investigating similar strategies can help standardize use and infusion duration to improve cost-

effectiveness without compromising quality of care.